

COUNCIL MINUTES

Monday 19 April 2017

Council Chambers



John Brown
General Manager
Break O'Day Council
19 April 2017

This page left blank intentionally

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	3
04/17.1.0 ATTENDANCE	5
04/17.1.1 Present	5
04/17.1.2 Apologies.....	5
04/17.1.3 Leave of Absence	5
04/17.1.4 Staff in Attendance	5
04/17.2.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	6
04/17.2.1 Future of the Church of England, Fingal – Mr J Partington, Fingal	6
04/17.3.0 DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST OF A COUNCILLOR OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE.....	6
04/17.4.0 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	6
04/17.4.1 Confirmation of Minutes – Council Meeting 20 March 2017	6
04/17.5.0 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE 20 MARCH 2017 COUNCIL MEETING	7
04/17.6.0 PLANNING AUTHORITY	8
04/17.6.1 DA011-2017 – Change of Use and Construction of a New Holiday Park – 8-20 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz	8
04/17.7.0 PETITIONS.....	22
04/17.7.1 Hub4Health Services at Risk Due to Funding Cuts.....	22
04/17.8.0 NOTICES OF MOTION	23
04/17.8.1 Notice of Motion – Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) National General Assemble call for Motions – Clr J Drummond	23
04/17.8.2 Notice of Motion – Increase 2017-18 Budget for Weed Officer – Clr H Rubenach- Quinn.....	25
04/17.8.3 Notice of Motion – NDIS Service Providers – Clr H Rubenach-Quinn.....	26
04/17.8.4 Notice of Motion – LGAT myagedcare Funding – Clr H Rubenach-Quinn	29
04/17.8.5 Notice of Motion – Scamander Parking – Clr H Rubenach-Quinn	30
04/17.8.6 Notice of Motion – Amendments to the Investment Assistance Policy Adopted in August 2016 – Clr J Drummond	31
04/17.9.0 COUNCILLOR’S QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	34
04/17.10.0 COUNCILLOR’S QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE	34
04/17.11.0 MAYOR’S & COUNCILLOR’S COMMUNICATIONS.....	34
04/17.11.1 Mayor’s Communications for Period Ending 19 April 2017.....	34
04/17.11.2 Councillor’s Reports for Period Ending 19 April 2017	34
04/17.12.0 BUSINESS AND CORPORATE SERVICES.....	36
04/17.12.1 Corporate Services Department Report	36
04/17.12.2 Monthly Financial Report.....	37
04/17.12.3 Visitor Information Centre Report	38
04/17.12.4 New Policy – LG51 Related Party Disclosures.....	39

04/17.12.5	Adoption of 2017/2018 Schedule of Fees & Charges	39
04/17.12.6	Review of LG30 Public Interest Disclosure Policy	40
04/17.12.7	Review of EP01 Asbestos Product – Building Policy	41
04/17.13.0	WORKS AND INFRASTRUCTURE	41
04/17.13.1	Works and Infrastructure Report.....	41
04/17.13.2	Animal Control Report	42
04/17.13.3	Stieglitz Street, Falmouth – Sealing Request	43
04/17.14.0	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	44
04/17.14.1	Community Services Report.....	44
04/17.14.2	Break O’Day Council Youth Policy and Strategy	45
04/17.14.3	Community Funding Program 2016-2017.....	46
04/17.15.0	DEVELOPMENT SERVICES.....	47
04/17.15.1	Development Services Report.....	47
04/17.15.2	Planning Approvals Issued	48
04/17.15.3	Building Services Approvals	48
04/17.15.4	Participation in the Climate Resilient Councils Project.....	48
04/17.16.0	GOVERNANCE.....	49
04/17.16.1	General Manager’s Report.....	49
04/17.16.2	Election of Committee Member of the General Management Committee (GMC) of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT).....	50
04/17.16.3	Draft Local Government Amendment (Targeted Review) Bill 2017 – Public Consultation	51
04/17.17.0	CLOSED COUNCIL	52
04/17.17.1	Outstanding Actions List for Closed Council	52
04/17.17.2	Enterprising Bargaining – Closed Council Item Pursuant To Section 15(2)A Of The Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.....	52

04/17.1.0 ATTENDANCE

04/17.1.1 Present

Mayor Mick Tucker
Deputy Mayor John McGiveron
Councillor John Tucker
Councillor Janet Drummond
Councillor Hannah Rubenach-Quinn
Councillor Kylie Wright (from 10:05am)
Councillor Barry LeFevre
Councillor Glenn McGuinness
Councillor Margaret Osborne OAM

04/17.1.2 Apologies

04/17.1.3 Leave of Absence

04/17.1.4 Staff in Attendance

General Manager, John Brown
Records Officer, Carol Joyce
Manager Corporate Services, Bob Hoogland (*Item 1.0 – 13.1*)
Works Supervisor, Wayne Polden (*Item 1.0 – 14.1*)
Planning Coordinator / HR Manager, Paula Kloosterman (*Item 1.0 – 8.6*)
Planning Consultant, Rebecca Green (*Item 1.0 – 8.6*)
Planning Officer, Chris Triebe (*Item 1.0 – 8.6*)
Planning Administrator Officer, Rebecca Venton (*Item 1.0 – 8.6*)
Building Co-Ordinator, Jake Ihnen (*Item 1.0 – 8.6, 14.1 – 16.1*)
Communications Officer, Jayne Richardson (*Item 1.0 – 16.3*)
Community Services Project Officer, Erica Lowry (*Item 6.1, 8.2 – 16.1*)
NRM Facilitator, Polly Buchhorn (*Item 14.2 – 16.1*)

04/17.2.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

(3) people in the gallery.

In accordance with Section 31(1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005 the following questions were submitted in writing prior to the Council Meeting.

04/17.2.1 Future of the Church of England, Fingal – Mr J Partington, Fingal

Fingal townspeople are concerned about the future of the Church of England in Fingal. They are holding a public meeting on this Sunday (23 April) at 4 pm. Will Council and Councillors be in attendance.

Reply

Councillors and staff will be made aware of the meeting and attend where possible.

04/17.3.0 DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST OF A COUNCILLOR OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE

Section 48 or 55 of the Local Government Act 1993 requires that a Councillor or Officer who has an interest in any matter to be discussed at a Council Meeting that will be attended by the Councillor or Officer must disclose the nature of the interest in a written notice given to the General Manager before the meeting; or at the meeting before the matter is discussed.

A Councillor or Officer who makes a disclosure under Section 48 or 55 must not preside at the part of the meeting relating to the matter; or participate in; or be present during any discussion or decision making procedure relating to the matter, unless allowed by the Council.

Nil.

04/17.4.0 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

04/17.4.1 Confirmation of Minutes – Council Meeting 20 March 2017

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on the 20 March 2017 be confirmed.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.4.1.75 Moved: Clr G McGuinness/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on the 20 March 2017 be confirmed.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.5.0 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE 20 MARCH 2017 COUNCIL MEETING

There was a Workshop held on Monday 3 April – the following items were listed for discussion.

- Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) – 2017 National General Assembly (NGA) of Local Government – Call for Motions
- Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) – Annual General Meeting – Submission of Motions
- Parliamentary Secretary for Small Business, Trade and Red Tape Reduction – National Business Simplification Initiative Letter – Offer to Participate in the Initiative
- New Policy – LG51 Related Party Disclosure
- Local Government Ministers Transparency Recommendations
- Adoption of 2017/2018 Schedule of Fees & Charges
- Rates Budget 2017-2018
- Community Funding Program 2016-2017
- Break O’Day Council Youth Policy and Strategy
- Climate Resilient Councils Project
- St Marys Flood Risk Management Project
- Weldborough Infrastructure
- Heavy Vehicle Road Routes
- Councillor iPad Use
- Motion to LGAT – NDIS
- East Coast Regional Tourism Organisation – Salmon Farming at Okehampton Bay
- Investment Incentive Policy
- Childhood Cancer – Tim Blair Tassie Gold

The Mayor explained that Clr Wrght had asked if Item 04/17.6.1 could be deferred until she had arrived due to work commitments.

Moved: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn/ Seconded: Clr J Tucker

That Council vary the order of business by deferring Item 04/17.6.1 until Clr Wright had arrived.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Pursuant to Section 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the Mayor informed the Council that it was now acting as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

04/17.6.1 DA011-2017 – Change of Use and Construction of a New Holiday Park – 8-20 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz

FILE REFERENCE	DA 011-2017
----------------	-------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

After due consideration of the representation received pursuant to Section 57 of the *Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993* and the *Break O'Day Council Interim Planning Scheme 2013* that the application for **Consolidation of Lots, Change of Use of Existing Dwelling to Managers Residence, New Holiday Park including Café, Cabins, Camp Kitchen, Recreation Room, Amenities Building, Camps Sites and Storage Sheds** on land situated at **8 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 10-12 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 14-16 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 18-20 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz and Road Reserve (Part of Parkside Avenue)** described in Certificate of Title CT 171694/1 & CT 111144/1 & CT 23655/2 & CT 111865/1 & Road Reserve (part of Parkside Avenue) be **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions:

1. Development must accord with the Development Application DA 011-17 received by Council 20/02/2017, together with all submitted documentation received and forming part of the development application, except as varied by conditions on this Planning Permit.
2. No works are to commence within the Parkside Avenue road reservation (currently owned by late John Leslie Steele) and the western portion of Parkside Avenue (gazetted road) located within C/T 111144/1 until the closure of the road under *Local Government (Highways) Act 1982* has been finalised and approved.
3. CT 171694/1 and CT 111144/1 and CT 23655/2 and CT 11185/1 and western portion of Parkside Avenue (currently owned by late John Leslie Steele) must be consolidated as one lot prior to the use commencing.
4. Prior to commencement of works, a detailed landscape development plan shall be prepared and submitted for the approval of Council. The plan shall identify:
 - (i) Plant species;
 - (ii) Boundary and internal fencing details (if applicable);
 - (iii) Additional vegetation screening between the bus parking bays and the adjacent property at 9-11 Parkside Avenue.

Landscaping must be undertaken in accordance with the plan approved by Council in accordance with Condition two (2) prior to any use of the development.

5. All works must be in accordance with the conditions of the Submission to Planning Authority Notice by TasWater, TWDA **2017/00233-BODC** as attached to this permit.

6. Stormwater management –
 - a) Application must be made to the Crown for permission to construct and use the stormwater swales as shown in Proposed Services Layout Plan (SW1) for the duration of the staged construction period to perform the function of sediment traps as well as ensuring that stormwater flows through the culverts are controlled.
 - b) Concurrently the RAA process must be commenced so that when the construction stages four (4) have been completed, the BODC culverts will have been upgraded and the swales reinstated enabling unrestricted use of the roadside area.
 - c) Culverts to be upgraded to meet all relevant requirements of ARR 2016, and in compliance with legislation as stipulated by the Crown.

7. a) The areas shown to be set aside for General vehicle access and car parking (other than individual rental sites) must be:
 - i) completed before the use of the development;
 - ii) provided in accordance with Standards Australia (2004): Australian Standard AS 2890.1-2004 – Parking Facilities Part 1: Off Street Car Parking; Standards Australia, Sydney and Standards Australia (2002): Australian Standard AS 2890.2 – 2002, Parking Facilities – Part 2: Off-Street Commercial vehicle facilities; Sydney and to the satisfaction of Council’s General Manager;
 - iii) provided with space for access turning and manoeuvring of vehicles on-site to enable them to enter and leave the site in a forward direction;
 - iv) surfaced with an impervious surface and drained to Council’s stormwater drainage system;
 - v) Line-marked to indicate each space to the satisfaction of the Council.
 b) The areas shown to be set aside for individual parking for cabins/powered site must be:
 - i) completed before the use of the development;
 - ii) designed and laid out in accordance with provisions of Table E6.0 of the *Break O’Day Interim Planning Scheme 2013*;
 - iii) provided with space for access turning and manoeuvring of vehicles on-site to enable them to enter and leave the site in a forward direction;
 - iv) surfaced with a dust free surface and drained to Council’s stormwater drainage system.

The areas set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be kept available for these purposes at all times.

8. The area set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be designed, constructed and maintained at all times to avoid dust or mud generation, erosion and sediment transfer off site or de-stabilisation of the soil on site or on adjacent properties.

9. The vehicle crossover from the carriageway to the property boundary must be **constructed** and maintained in accordance with standard drawings TSD-R03-v1 & TSD-R04-v1 (attached). As there is no formal roadside drain a culvert pipe and headwall is not required and a shallow dish crossing will be acceptable. The construction of the vehicular crossover will provide effective, safe and nuisance-free vehicle access in connection with the proposed development and must be sealed and must be financed by the applicant.

10. No works are to commence on the crossover(s) until a permit to undertake works in the road reservation has been issued by Council’s Works Manager for the crossover.

11. The completed parking and associated turning, loading and unloading areas and access must be certified by a practicing civil engineer to the effect that they have been constructed in accordance with the endorsed drawings and specifications approved by Council before the use commences.
12. Any/all current vehicle crossovers from St Helens Point Road (whether formal or informal) which provide access to the current site(s) will no longer be permitted for use in the new development and must be removed and made good to the satisfaction of Council's Works Manager, before the use commences.
13. The proposed sign must be:
 - a. professionally designed and legible;
 - b. structurally sound and not in any way hazardous;
 - c. located entirely within the boundaries of Lot;
 - d. situated so as not to obstruct traffic; and
 - e. designed in colours and principles not currently incorporated into statutory or directional signs erected by public authorities.
14. A *Soil and Water Management Plan* must be submitted to Council for approval prior to a Building Permit being issued, prepared in accordance with *Guidelines for Soil and Water Management*, published by Hobart City Council and available on Council's website ([http://www.bodc.tas.gov.au/webdata/resources/files/Guidelines for Soil and Water Management.pdf](http://www.bodc.tas.gov.au/webdata/resources/files/Guidelines%20for%20Soil%20and%20Water%20Management.pdf)). All works associated with the development must be conducted in accordance with the approved *Soil and Water Management Plan*. All worked areas not covered by structures must be promptly and progressively stabilised (e.g. revegetated) so that they will not erode and/or act as a source of sediment transfer.
15. All works associated with the development must be conducted in accordance with a soil and water management plan, *Guidelines for Soil and Water Management*, Hobart City Council. Material must not be burnt on site and all trade waste must be disposed of in a licensed waste disposal facility. During demolition/construction all existing materials must be checked for the presence of asbestos and if found, handling, protection and disposal must be carried out as per "Code of Practice for the Removal of Asbestos" (NOHSC:2002(1988)). All worked areas not covered by structures must be promptly and progressively stabilised (e.g. revegetated) so that they will not erode and/or act as a source of sediment transfer.
16. Native vegetation must not be removed outside that necessitated by the proposed development (this includes the clearing of vegetation to retain or expand views or vistas) unless consented to by Council.
17. Power supply/service is to be provided to the development underground.
18. Any necessary exterior building lighting must be located under eaves and limited to that essential to allow the safe and secure movement of pedestrians at night. Lighting must not be used as a means of displaying the presence of buildings to be visible from outside the site.

19. Lighting of car parking and access ways must not provide light spill outside the boundaries of the subject site.
20. A copy of the final plan of survey and schedule of easements is to be submitted to Council for assessment of sealing. The plan will not be sealed until such time as all relevant conditions on this permit have been complied with.
21. Any damage that may occur to any Council infrastructure during the construction of the proposed development including demolition of existing buildings must be reinstated to the satisfaction of Council and at the cost of the developer.

ADVICE

- All underground infrastructure including all forms of water, storm water, power, gas and telecommunication systems must be located prior to the commencement of any on-site excavation and/or construction works. Any works to be undertaken within two (2) metres of any Council owned infrastructure must be done in consultation with Council's Manager Works and Infrastructure.
- Plants listed in Appendix 3, Break O'Day Interim Planning Scheme 2013 must not be used in landscaping.
- The introduction of non-native plant species and plant species not of local provenance should be avoided and environmental weeds regularly monitored and targeted for removal.
- All building wastes are to be removed to the appropriate waste disposal facility to prevent an environmental nuisance being caused outside of the works site.
- Activities associated with construction works are not to be performed outside the permissible time frame listed:
 - Monday-Friday 7am to 6pm
 - Saturday 9am to 6pm
 - Sunday and public holidays 10am to 6pm

INTRODUCTION:

Application is made seeking approval for use and development of a portion of a road reserve at Parkside Avenue and land at 8, 10-12, 14-16 and 18-20 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz for a holiday park and café which involves:

- Subdivision, consolidation of lots including a portion of the road reserve known as Parkside Avenue;
- Retention of the existing dwelling at 8 St Helens Point Road to change use to Manager's residence;
- Demolition of all other existing buildings on the site;
- Construction of a new access to St Helens Point Road, internal roads and car parks;
- Cabins, powered sites, camp site and support facilities; and
- Café.

Visitor Accommodation and Food Services use classes in the General Residential Zone are both a discretionary use, under Table 10.2 of the *Break O'Day Interim Planning Scheme 2013*, if for a single dwelling.













DISCUSSION:

- Cllr Wright questioned is this the order this should be done in. If we approve this what happens with the road closure.
- Planning Consultant stated the LUPPA process has been addressed with this item.
- Cllr M Tucker stated he had an issue with the amount of frontage to one of the properties where it is proposed to close the road, is the road to be a cul de sac and provide the 100% frontage as is today. He wants everyone to have an open mind to the proposal.
- Economic Development officer replied a cul de sac will be put in to enable services to turn around.
- Cllr Wright stated that one of the representors was her husband.
- General Manager explained that given the representation from Cllr Wright's husband, legal advice had been sought and received which confirmed that there was no pecuniary interest that needed to be declared. Mr Brown further explained that the declaration by

Clr Wright was being made in the interests of transparency in case the matter was raised in the future.

- Clr Rubenach-Quinn stated she has concerns about the bushfire exemption as she felt this is an integrated application involving both subdivision and the development application for buildings, etc. She believes the Planning Scheme suggests these should be looked at as a whole and a bushfire exemption would only apply to the subdivision component (and not the remainder of the development application), as was also suggested in the bushfire exemption document provided by the developers. What costs would be there be to Council for the cul de sac development, and would the developers be expected to contribute?
- Planning Consultant stated an accredited practitioner had prepared the report in relation to the Bushfire Code. In this instance a consolidation of lots was occurring not an increase in the number of lots and was not applicable in this instance the planning scheme only looks at subdivision and not the buildings anyway. Any works costs are covered by the developer.
- General Manager stated the road was not owned by the Council, but privately owned and never transferred to Council.
- Clr McGiveron asked what effect does this have on the DA if the road closes.
- Planning Consultant replied no matter what something has to happen to the road. The application may need to be altered.
- Clr Drummond stated most of her concerns have been answered, bushfire, lack of turning space. Supports the project but the road is problematic and has concerns for people living along the road.
- Clr Wright stated the setbacks for development should be 6 metres but this is 1.5 metres, why is this different.
- Planning Consultant replied 6 metres is for residential. The performance criteria for setbacks has been addressed in the application.
- Clr LeFevre stated we need to be a proactive Council and that 99% of people agree that a major development needs to happen in the area and we need to provide for the tourists.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.6.1.76

Moved: Clr M Osborne/ Seconded: Clr J Tucker

After due consideration of the representation received pursuant to Section 57 of the *Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993* and the *Break O'Day Council Interim Planning Scheme 2013* that the application for **Consolidation of Lots, Change of Use of Existing Dwelling to Managers Residence, New Holiday Park including Café, Cabins, Camp Kitchen, Recreation Room, Amenities Building, Camps Sites and Storage Sheds** on land situated at **8 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 10-12 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 14-16 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz & 18-20 St Helens Point Road, Stieglitz and Road Reserve (Part of Parkside Avenue)** described in Certificate of Title CT 171694/1 & CT 111144/1 & CT 23655/2 & CT 111865/1 & Road Reserve (part of Parkside Avenue) be **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions:

1. Development must accord with the Development Application DA 011-17 received by Council 20/02/2017, together with all submitted documentation received and forming part of the development application, except as varied by conditions on this Planning Permit.

2. No works are to commence within the Parkside Avenue road reservation (currently owned by late John Leslie Steele) and the western portion of Parkside Avenue (gazetted road) located within C/T 111144/1 until the closure of the road under *Local Government (Highways) Act 1982* has been finalised and approved.
3. CT 171694/1 and CT 111144/1 and CT 23655/2 and CT 11185/1 and western portion of Parkside Avenue (currently owned by late John Leslie Steele) must be consolidated as one lot prior to the use commencing.
4. Prior to commencement of works, a detailed landscape development plan shall be prepared and submitted for the approval of Council. The plan shall identify:
 - (i) Plant species;
 - (ii) Boundary and internal fencing details (if applicable);
 - (iii) Additional vegetation screening between the bus parking bays and the adjacent property at 9-11 Parkside Avenue.

Landscaping must be undertaken in accordance with the plan approved by Council in accordance with Condition two (2) prior to any use of the development.

5. All works must be in accordance with the conditions of the Submission to Planning Authority Notice by TasWater, TWDA **2017/00233-BODC** as attached to this permit.
6. Stormwater management –
 - a) Application must be made to the Crown for permission to construct and use the stormwater swales as shown in Proposed Services Layout Plan (SW1) for the duration of the staged construction period to perform the function of sediment traps as well as ensuring that stormwater flows through the culverts are controlled.
 - b) Concurrently the RAA process must be commenced so that when the construction stages four (4) have been completed, the BODC culverts will have been upgraded and the swales reinstated enabling unrestricted use of the roadside area.
 - c) Culverts to be upgraded to meet all relevant requirements of ARR 2016, and in compliance with legislation as stipulated by the Crown.
7. a) The areas shown to be set aside for General vehicle access and car parking (other than individual rental sites) must be:
 - vi) completed before the use of the development;
 - vii) provided in accordance with Standards Australia (2004): Australian Standard AS 2890.1-2004 – Parking Facilities Part 1: Off Street Car Parking; Standards Australia, Sydney and Standards Australia (2002): Australian Standard AS 2890.2 – 2002, Parking Facilities – Part 2: Off-Street Commercial vehicle facilities; Sydney and to the satisfaction of Council’s General Manager;
 - viii) provided with space for access turning and manoeuvring of vehicles on-site to enable them to enter and leave the site in a forward direction;
 - ix) surfaced with an impervious surface and drained to Council’s stormwater drainage system;
 - x) Line-marked to indicate each space to the satisfaction of the Council.
- b) The areas shown to be set aside for individual parking for cabins/powerd site must be:
 - i) completed before the use of the development;

- ii) designed and laid out in accordance with provisions of Table E6.0 of the *Break O'Day Interim Planning Scheme 2013*;
- iii) provided with space for access turning and manoeuvring of vehicles on-site to enable them to enter and leave the site in a forward direction;
- iv) surfaced with a dust free surface and drained to Council's stormwater drainage system.

The areas set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be kept available for these purposes at all times.

8. The area set-aside for parking and associated access and turning must be designed, constructed and maintained at all times to avoid dust or mud generation, erosion and sediment transfer off site or de-stabilisation of the soil on site or on adjacent properties.
9. The vehicle crossover from the carriageway to the property boundary must be **constructed** and maintained in accordance with standard drawings TSD-R03-v1 & TSD-R04-v1 (attached). As there is no formal roadside drain a culvert pipe and headwall is not required and a shallow dish crossing will be acceptable. The construction of the vehicular crossover will provide effective, safe and nuisance-free vehicle access in connection with the proposed development and must be sealed and must be financed by the applicant.
10. No works are to commence on the crossover(s) until a permit to undertake works in the road reservation has been issued by Council's Works Manager for the crossover.
11. The completed parking and associated turning, loading and unloading areas and access must be certified by a practicing civil engineer to the effect that they have been constructed in accordance with the endorsed drawings and specifications approved by Council before the use commences.
12. Any/all current vehicle crossovers from St Helens Point Road (whether formal or informal) which provide access to the current site(s) will no longer be permitted for use in the new development and must be removed and made good to the satisfaction of Council's Works Manager, before the use commences.
13. The proposed sign must be:
 - a. professionally designed and legible;
 - b. structurally sound and not in any way hazardous;
 - c. located entirely within the boundaries of Lot;
 - d. situated so as not to obstruct traffic; and
 - e. designed in colours and principles not currently incorporated into statutory or directional signs erected by public authorities.
14. A *Soil and Water Management Plan* must be submitted to Council for approval prior to a Building Permit being issued, prepared in accordance with *Guidelines for Soil and Water Management*, published by Hobart City Council and available on Council's website ([http://www.bodc.tas.gov.au/webdata/resources/files/Guidelines for Soil and Water Management.pdf](http://www.bodc.tas.gov.au/webdata/resources/files/Guidelines%20for%20Soil%20and%20Water%20Management.pdf)). All works associated with the development must be conducted in accordance with the approved *Soil and Water Management Plan*. All worked areas not covered by structures must be promptly and progressively stabilised (e.g. revegetated) so that they will not erode and/or act as a source of sediment transfer.

15. All works associated with the development must be conducted in accordance with a soil and water management plan, *Guidelines for Soil and Water Management*, Hobart City Council. Material must not be burnt on site and all trade waste must be disposed of in a licensed waste disposal facility. During demolition/construction all existing materials must be checked for the presence of asbestos and if found, handling, protection and disposal must be carried out as per “Code of Practice for the Removal of Asbestos” (NOHSC:2002(1988)). All worked areas not covered by structures must be promptly and progressively stabilised (e.g. revegetated) so that they will not erode and/or act as a source of sediment transfer.
16. Native vegetation must not be removed outside that necessitated by the proposed development (this includes the clearing of vegetation to retain or expand views or vistas) unless consented to by Council.
17. Power supply/service is to be provided to the development underground.
18. Any necessary exterior building lighting must be located under eaves and limited to that essential to allow the safe and secure movement of pedestrians at night. Lighting must not be used as a means of displaying the presence of buildings to be visible from outside the site.
19. Lighting of car parking and access ways must not provide light spill outside the boundaries of the subject site.
20. A copy of the final plan of survey and schedule of easements is to be submitted to Council for assessment of sealing. The plan will not be sealed until such time as all relevant conditions on this permit have been complied with.
21. Any damage that may occur to any Council infrastructure during the construction of the proposed development including demolition of existing buildings must be reinstated to the satisfaction of Council and at the cost of the developer.

ADVICE

- All underground infrastructure including all forms of water, storm water, power, gas and telecommunication systems must be located prior to the commencement of any on-site excavation and/or construction works. Any works to be undertaken within two (2) metres of any Council owned infrastructure must be done in consultation with Council’s Manager Works and Infrastructure.
- Plants listed in Appendix 3, Break O’Day Interim Planning Scheme 2013 must not be used in landscaping.
- The introduction of non-native plant species and plant species not of local provenance should be avoided and environmental weeds regularly monitored and targeted for removal.
- All building wastes are to be removed to the appropriate waste disposal facility to prevent an environmental nuisance being caused outside of the works site.

- Activities associated with construction works are not to be performed outside the permissible time frame listed:
Monday-Friday 7am to 6pm
Saturday 9am to 6pm
Sunday and public holidays 10am to 6pm

FOR Clr J Tucker, Clr J McGiveron, Clr B LeFevre, Clr M Tucker, Clr M Osborne, Clr G McGuinness
AGAINST Clr J Drummond, Clr H Rubenach-Quinn, Clr K Wright
CARRIED

The Mayor advised the Council that it had now concluded its meeting as a Planning Authority under Section 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations.

Meeting adjourned for morning tea at 11.00 am

Meeting resumed after morning tea at 11.12 am

04/17.7.0 PETITIONS

04/17.7.1 Hub4Health Services at Risk Due to Funding Cuts

FILE REFERENCE	019\005\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council receive the petition and advise that Council will forward the same to the State and Federal Governments lobbying the residents/ratepayers concerns.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Rubenach-Quinn stated she had signed the petition.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.7.1.77 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That Council receive the petition and advise that Council will forward the same to the State and Federal Governments lobbying the residents/ratepayers concerns.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Clr Wright arrived at the meeting at 10.05am.

MOTION:

That Break O'Day Council respond to the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) National General Assembly Call for Motions, with the following Motion:

“That this National General Assembly call on the Federal Government to restore funding for preventative health services, particularly in rural and remote regions where very limited options for treatment exist for local communities”.

This motion addresses the NGA Theme of (3) Liveability – maximising amenity, design and community cohesion. The provision of preventative health care funding would allow our community to access locally provided, holistically based health care and attract more residents to rural and remote areas, knowing that they do not have hours of travel to access basic health care services, which are taken for granted within urban areas.

National Objective

Goal: To restore funding for holistic preventative health services which create the social and physical environments that promote good health for all. Good health outcomes should not be dependent on the geography of where a person lives and there should be equity of provision of services for all Australians.

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

In the Federal Budget 2016 the government removed substantial funding from preventive health programs. The budget also marked the start of the Healthier Medicare package announced earlier in 2016. This included funding of \$21.3 million for a trial of “Health Care Homes”, designed for people with chronic diseases and complex conditions. The package also created bundled payments and incentives for GPs treating the chronically ill. This highlights the move away from funding of preventative health services and the transferring of funds to the area of chronic health conditions. This motion calls for the reinstatement of funding for preventative health services in rural and remote regions.

The removal of the provision of funding to provide integrated local care, which is proven to improve the quality and outcomes of health care over generations should be reversed, and local services given more funding for preventative and holistic care services. Where there are limited health services, the health of the population suffers, where there are large travel distances to overcome, health suffers. Health services are best provided holistically and in community locations, overseen by a treating general practitioner.

The lack of the provision of health care services is a huge barrier faced by rural and remote communities in Australia, this can lead to a breakdown in social stability as people move away from family support structures to access health care services. Maximising the liveability of the community for tomorrow's communities, is the core business of local government. If we cannot work towards ensuring the provision of basic health care services, we face difficulties in attracting new families and in building the community and the economic prosperity of our area.

DISCUSSION:

- Cllr Rubenach-Quinn stated other areas are affected like us and it is good to have a consolidated group.
- Cllr LeFevre questioned if 'restore' the right word.
- Cllr Drummond stated this is meaning restoration to preventative health.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.1.78

Moved: Cllr J Drummond/ Seconded: Cllr H Rubenach-Quinn

That Break O'Day Council respond to the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) National General Assembly Call for Motions, with the following Motion:

"That this National General Assembly call on the Federal Government to restore funding for preventative health services, particularly in rural and remote regions where very limited options for treatment exist for local communities".

This motion addresses the NGA Theme of (3) Liveability – maximising amenity, design and community cohesion. The provision of preventative health care funding would allow our community to access locally provided, holistically based health care and attract more residents to rural and remote areas, knowing that they do not have hours of travel to access basic health care services, which are taken for granted within urban areas.

National Objective

Goal: To restore funding for holistic preventative health services which create the social and physical environments that promote good health for all. Good health outcomes should not be dependent on the geography of where a person lives and there should be equity of provision of services for all Australians.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION:

A report is sought providing advice in accordance with the requirements of *Section 65* of the *Local Government Act 1993* for the information of Council at a future meeting, and consider any advice as required from relevant State Agencies:

That council increase funding for the employment of the weed officer for the 2017-2018 budget, so as to enable more hours of weed management to be provided in the municipality.

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

In light of the loss of future Green Army funding rounds and the reduction in other landcare type funding available, we need to consider ways to maintain the great improvements in weed management that have been attained throughout the municipality in recent years. One way to achieve this would be to increase our 2017-2018 budget for the weed officer so as to enable more hours/days of weed management in the municipality.

DISCUSSION:

- Cllr Rubenach-Quinn stated we had an obligation to the community and need to put more money into the budget.
- Cllr Drummond stated the Green Army was a great loss to Council. She has had good comments from the community on their progress.
- Cllr McGuinness stated this is an open ended motion, increase funding to what? Would prefer to see a figure.
- Cllr McGivern stated this will be a budget decision anyway not relevant at this stage.
- Cllr J Tucker stated that we need to look at the TasWater loss of income. Need to find other areas to raise the funds can't keep putting up the rates.
- Cllr Wright stated need to keep up the program otherwise the good work will be undone.
- Cllr M Tucker expressed concern that we might be taking over the State Government role, need to be cautious not to accept the costs without a fight.
- Cllr Rubenach-Quinn agreed with Cllr M Tucker that the State Government needs to take on more. Left the motion open on purpose so can make budget decisions. Need to also be responsible for environmental management.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.2.79 Moved: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn/ Seconded: Clr J Drummond

A report is sought providing advice in accordance with the requirements of *Section 65* of the *Local Government Act 1993* for the information of Council at a future meeting, and consider any advice as required from relevant State Agencies:

That council increase funding for the employment of the weed officer for the 2017-2018 budget, so as to enable more hours of weed management to be provided in the municipality.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.8.3 **Notice of Motion – NDIS Service Providers – Clr H Rubenach-Quinn**

MOTION:

A report is sought providing advice in accordance with the requirements of *Section 65* of the *Local Government Act 1993* for the information of Council at a future meeting, and consider any advice as required from relevant State Agencies:

That council take action to enable the disabled in our community to be able to more easily and fully access support services by the time the full rollout of the NDIS begins in January 2018, by urgently investigating the following options:

- a) Actively attracting NDIS registered providers to our area;
- b) Encouraging new providers to start up in our community and become registered as NDIS providers;
- c) Negotiating with existing community organisations with the aim of collaborating and encouraging them to register as NDIS service providers or as contractors to a registered consortium;
- d) Look into the feasibility of council becoming a registered NDIS provider (as has been done elsewhere in Australia);
- e) Determining whether there may be a need to attract an adult education training provider to provide certificate qualifications in disability care (so as to boost the local workforce potential).

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

The full rollout of the NDIS (National Disability Insurance Scheme) in Tasmania will begin in January 2018 following the successful trial period of the past few years. During 2018 everyone in Tasmania assessed as eligible for the NDIS between the ages of 0-49 will be allocated a package. This will extend to those aged up to 64 by mid 2019. This will mean that by early 2018 (less than a year away), there will be many in our community requiring access to disability services and as such, will need service providers who are able and willing to meet the demand. However, regional and remote areas seem to struggle to attract service providers, most probably due to the limited skilled workforce, and the travel distance (and thus costs) between clients.

I believe there is a significant role for council to ensure that people with a disability in our community will be able to access disability support services as soon as they become eligible for the NDIS. The Local Government Act 1993, Section 20 states that the role of councils is to provide for the health, safety and welfare of the community. As there is now a very limited time to ensure there is adequate service provision in our municipality, I believe we need to act now to determine the best way to fill the gaps that will emerge in our community, whether that be through attracting service providers, through to council investigating becoming a service provider ourselves (as is being done by Playford City Council in South Australia).

Not only will the NDIS increase the functionality of everyday lives of the disabled, and the social inclusion in our community, but the NDIS can become a huge economic development opportunity for our area – bringing in large amounts of government funding and providing many jobs. For example I am aware of one local disabled young person that will likely attract a \$500,000-\$750,000 funding package, potentially providing employment for five (5) full time support workers, several casual workers and a part-time coordinator (he currently only has 1 full time, 1 part-time and 1 casual support worker employed locally under his current limited state government funding package). The *NDIS Costs - Productivity Commission Issues Paper (Feb 2017)* states that the NDIS is expected to create 20% of the jobs growth forecast in the next three (3) years – if we are serious about jobs, we cannot afford to miss out on this level of jobs growth as well as the service it will provide to some of the most marginalised and vulnerable members of our community.

The NDIS funding arrangements are based on a full cost recovery basis. For example, the average hourly rate for a disability support worker is \$23.62, while the funding for standard care personal support service is \$42.79/hr and for high care \$45.17/hr during week days with rates increasing for evenings, weekends and public holidays. Some portions of our municipality (the south east corner and the far northeast tip) are considered remote (Classification MM6 under the Modified Monash Model used by the NDIS), and thus would attract a further 18% funding on top of that listed in the price guide. For further detail, please see the NDIS price guide:

<https://www.ndis.gov.au/html/sites/default/files/documents/Provider/201617-vic-nsw-qld-tas-price-guide.pdf>

Thus it seems entirely economically feasible that not-for-profit organisations, for-profit businesses or even council can provide services within our municipality. However, I am not aware of any that willing/able to do so at the moment. I believe we need to put some serious thought into how we can attract service providers or for council to become a service provider - and this is going to have to happen very soon, as the full rollout begins in less than a year. If no service providers (beyond existing small ones) have shown an interest in this area by now, I would say it is highly unlikely they have any intentions to provide a service locally, and thus we need to act very quickly so our community can be ready within a year.

DISCUSSION:

- Cllr Rubenach-Quinn Quinn stated a forecast 20% of the jobs growth in the next three years will be from the NDIS alone, and we need to take urgent action to ensure our community benefits from this. While there is a pushdown on councils to take on state and federal

government roles, this is perhaps the only area which has guaranteed funding attached as opposed to other areas of pushdown.

- Clr Drummond stated this is an area of jobs growth which would be good to capture in the area.
- Clr McGuinness stated he is attracted by part of the motion, vital to attract services to the area.
- Clr LeFevre questioned using Playford Council as an example, can't be compared to us. Spoke to Steve Walley who stated a provision for certification already exists though there is not a course at the moment as not enough interest but they would look at it. He contacted NDIS who clarified the process if you have a carer you can self-manage a plan. They recognise difficulties in remote areas but assured services and people won't miss out.
- Clr J Tucker stated he is for the motion we need to be serious about jobs. Can the name of the person in the report be provided.
- Clr Rubenach-Quinn replied to Clr J Tucker that she will ask the family but will be confidential. This can provide job opportunities for people who are looking for work. The most vulnerable in our community can not miss out on these services.
- Clr McGiveron stated supports motion but is concerned about the amount of funding why is there not people knocking down our doors, maybe look at something through Hub4Health.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.3.80

Moved: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn/ Seconded: Clr J Drummond

A report is sought providing advice in accordance with the requirements of *Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993* for the information of Council at a future meeting, and consider any advice as required from relevant State Agencies:

That council take action to enable the disabled in our community to be able to more easily and fully access support services by the time the full rollout of the NDIS begins in January 2018, by urgently investigating the following options:

- a) Actively attracting NDIS registered providers to our area;
- b) Encouraging new providers to start up in our community and become registered as NDIS providers;
- c) Negotiating with existing community organisations with the aim of collaborating and encouraging them to register as NDIS service providers or as contractors to a registered consortium;
- d) Look into the feasibility of council becoming a registered NDIS provider (as has been done elsewhere in Australia);
- e) Determining whether there may be a need to attract an adult education training provider to provide certificate qualifications in disability care (so as to boost the local workforce potential).

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION:

That LGAT call on the State and Federal Governments to a) collect data on wait lists; b) investigate the current need situation; and increase the amount of funding for in-home support services for those deemed eligible through the My Aged Care System for Commonwealth subsidised services, so as to reduce the wait list and ensure all who are eligible can access services in a timely and affordable manner.

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

There are reports of many cases in Northern Tasmania, where people have been placed on wait lists for several years for Commonwealth subsidised services for in-home domestic and personal care support. Some elderly clients are told there is a chronic shortage of funding packages for Northern Tasmania, with the result that whenever funding packages are released, there is never enough to go around and many continuously miss out, year after year. Some are repeatedly assessed and repeatedly deemed eligible, however continue to miss out on newly released funding packages. The older people in our communities deserve better.

It is difficult, if not impossible to find relevant data relating to the numbers of people on wait lists and how long they have been waiting. There is some dated data, such as “During 2013–14, 8% of people were receiving Home Care (Levels 1–4) services within a week of their ACAT approval, 31% within a month, 59% within 3, and 86% within 9 months [2]. There was little variation in elapsed times between Levels 1–2 and 3–4. The preliminary data available indicate that the introduction of the Home Care Packages Programme has not significantly changed entry periods for community-based care” <http://www.aihw.gov.au/aged-care/residential-and-home-care-2013-14/accessing-services/>.

Case study 1: Last year, an elderly woman from the rural east coast of Tasmania who had been waiting for several years for funding, broke her leg whilst putting washing on the line – a task she had struggled to do for years and was one of the domestic support services she had been requesting, but was placed on the wait list. She then asked for personal support services to shower and dress her during the months her leg was in plaster – she never received this service. She is still waiting for in-home domestic services.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Rubenach-Quinn stated she is struggling to get information. There is funding shortage in the area and going this way we maybe able to voice concerns better.
- Clr Drummond stated this issue is relevant to all Councils.
- Clr McGiveron stated there seems to be a problem with communication.
- Clr Wright stated a couple of her clients tried to get into this but the funding was taken away.
- Clr McGiveron stated needed to workshop further for a different worded motion.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.4.81 Moved: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn/ Seconded: Clr J Drummond

That LGAT call on the State and Federal Governments to a) collect data on wait lists; b) investigate the current need situation; and increase the amount of funding for in-home support services for those deemed eligible through the My Aged Care System for Commonwealth subsidised services, so as to reduce the wait list and ensure all who are eligible can access services in a timely and affordable manner.

Motion Withdrawn.

04/17.8.5 **Notice of Motion – Scamander Parking – Clr H Rubenach-Quinn**

MOTION:

A report is sought in accordance with the requirements of Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993 for the information of Council at a future meeting and consider any advice as required by State Agencies:

That council investigate the need for improvements of parking arrangements and possible expansions of parking areas in Scamander.

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

It was recently brought to my attention that in late 2008/early 2009 a petition was signed by residents of Scamander and lodged with council asking for consideration of car and large vehicle parking needs in Scamander. I have been asked to bring this matter back to council's attention, as some residents have continued to have concerns regarding the safety and adequacy of existing parking arrangements, in particular around the Mouth I/Post Office/Scamander Beach Hotel area. As we are seeing a growing tourism industry for the East Coast, we need to start considering the longer term adequacy of car and large vehicle parking facilities in our townships, and I think it is timely to start with the Scamander community request.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr McGiveron stated he supports the motion but there is no room in the area.
- Clr McGuinness stated needs to be investigated and asked about land in front of the hotel.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.5.82 Moved: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

A report is sought in accordance with the requirements of Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993 for the information of Council at a future meeting and consider any advice as required by State Agencies:

That council investigate the need for improvements of parking arrangements and possible expansions of parking areas in Scamander.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**04/17.8.6 Notice of Motion – Amendments to the Investment Assistance Policy
Adopted in August 2016 – Clr J Drummond**

MOTION:

A report is sought in accordance with the requirements of Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993 for the information of Council at a future meeting and consider any advice as required by State Agencies:

That the following amendments be made to the Investment Assistance Policy adopted in August 2016:

Page 6 – Eligibility

Ineligible Applicants include:

- Government departments or agencies.
- Government owned entities such as Government Business Enterprises.
- Government funded regional development organisations.

Ineligible Projects

The following projects will not be eligible to receive assistance:

- Planning, pre-planning or feasibility studies.
- Provision of working capital or funds to service financing arrangements.
- A project that overlaps/falls within an obligation of a government department or agency, government owned entity or government funded regional development organisation.
- A project where the primary focus is property development by subdivision.

Eligible Applicants will:

- Be public or private companies with a registered ABN or ACN.
- Be a not for profit organisation that would provide benefit to the community.
- Submit a business and / or project plan that demonstrates a net economic and / or community benefit to the Break O’Day Municipality.
- Demonstrate capacity to deliver the project.

Page 7 - Assessment Criteria

The Break O’Day Council will assess all projects and applicants to ensure capability and eligibility. The criteria used in this assessment will include (but not be limited to):

- Net economic and / or community benefit to the Break O’Day area;
- On-going viability;

- The creation of sustainable job growth in the area;
- Capital investment.

SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION:

Whilst the “Investment Assistance Program” does not define our services as a Council, we need to ensure that the language in the marketing tool, is inclusive rather than exclusive. If we are “open for business” we need to consider all businesses on merit. A simplified document would be more inclusive than the one we have now.

Concerns were raised by Councillors about the wording of the Investment Assistance Program being exclusionary at the time of its adoption in August, 2016. These concerns have now been raised by members of the community.

Attracting large businesses into the area should not be to the detriment of smaller and existing businesses. Smaller businesses, requiring assistance, should also be considered on merit, as one small business in our smaller townships could act as a catalyst for more small or larger businesses to move into the area. Boutique experiences are what many travel to Tasmania to enjoy, let us build on what is unique to our area.

Not for profit organisation should be removed from the “Ineligible projects” and included in “Eligible Applicants”, as into the future as the NDIS rolls out in the area, there could be a surge in the growth of “not for profit organisations”, bringing employment into the area. There are also other “not for profit organisations” who have been involved in environmental works in our area, that should not be excluded.

The term “significant capital investment” is problematic, as it is exclusionary. This has been interpreted by community members to mean only large developments will be considered. “Capital investment” is a more embracing term.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Drummond stated some of these concerns were raised when policy was put forward.
- Clr McGiveron noted this is open ended doesn’t appear to be defined.
- Clr Drummond stated only took out Not for Profit.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.8.6.83 Moved: Clr J Drummond / Seconded: Clr H Rubenach-Quinn

A report is sought in accordance with the requirements of Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993 for the information of Council at a future meeting and consider any advice as required by State Agencies:

That the following amendments be made to the Investment Assistance Policy adopted in August 2016:

Page 6 – Eligibility

Ineligible Applicants include:

- Government departments or agencies.
- Government owned entities such as Government Business Enterprises.
- Government funded regional development organisations.

Ineligible Projects

The following projects will not be eligible to receive assistance:

- Planning, pre-planning or feasibility studies.
- Provision of working capital or funds to service financing arrangements.
- A project that overlaps/falls within an obligation of a government department or agency, government owned entity or government funded regional development organisation.
- A project where the primary focus is property development by subdivision.

Eligible Applicants will:

- Be public or private companies with a registered ABN or ACN.
- Be a not for profit organisation that would provide benefit to the community.
- Submit a business and / or project plan that demonstrates a net economic and / or community benefit to the Break O'Day Municipality.
- Demonstrate capacity to deliver the project.

Page 7 - Assessment Criteria

The Break O'Day Council will assess all projects and applicants to ensure capability and eligibility.

The criteria used in this assessment will include (but not be limited to):

- Net economic and / or community benefit to the Break O'Day area;
- On-going viability;
- The creation of sustainable job growth in the area;
- Capital investment.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Moved: Clr B LeFevre/ Seconded: Clr J Tucker

That Council resume the normal order of business and return to Item 04/17.6.1.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.9.0 COUNCILLOR'S QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil.

04/17.10.0 COUNCILLOR'S QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Regulation 29 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005 specifies that in putting a Question Without Notice a Councillor must not offer an argument or opinion, draw any inference or make any imputations except so far as may be necessary to explain the question.

The Chairperson must not permit any debate of a Question without Notice or its answer.

Nil

04/17.11.0 MAYOR'S & COUNCILLOR'S COMMUNICATIONS

04/17.11.1 Mayor's Communications for Period Ending 19 April 2017

22.03.17	St Helens	– Meeting with representative from the RSL to discuss the State Auxiliary Conference.
29.03.17	St Helens	– Meeting with Rebecca White MP to discuss various local issues.
29.03.17	St Helens	– St Helens Barway meeting.
30.03.17	St Helens	– Meet Tour d'Cure participants, Tidal Waters.
03.04.17	St Helens	– Council Workshop.
07.04.17	Launceston	– Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) General meeting.
10.04.17	St Helens	– 10 Year Strategic Plan meeting.
11.04.17	St Helens	– Eating with Friends, St Helens Neighbourhood House.
19.04.17	St Helens	– Council meeting.

04/17.11.2 Councillor's Reports for Period Ending 19 April 2017

This is for Councillors to provide a report for any Committees they are Council Representatives on and will be given at the Council Meeting.

St Helens and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Tourism – Mayor Mick Tucker/Clr Barry LeFevre

- The response for advertising on the Chamber Brochure has been promising with the majority of spaces filled.
- A number of comments/queries were directed to me as the Council representative. These included;
 - o Why weren't Council staff doing more to encourage and progress the possible introduction of an Electric Car charge centre in St.Helens. Tim Gowans answered this question and gave an informed account of the actual proposals.
 - o A negative comment regarding the state of a toilet during the peak holiday season. I recounted to Chamber members the number of positive comments that had been acknowledged by Councillors and Council staff.

- A comment regarding a lack of signage entering St. Helens and near the Wharf area alerting tourists to the direction of the Bay of Fires.
- Comments about the frustration in meeting Council regulations related to advertising signage. The meeting resolved that a group of Chamber members would ask to meet with Council staff to clarify the situation and offer suggestions.
- Tim Gowans was the guest speaker for the meeting. He outlined his role in Council and spoke about the Investment Incentive Scheme. This was widely applauded by the Chamber. They resolved to write to Council expressing their total support of the scheme.

NRM Special Committee – Clr Janet Drummond (Acting Chair)

- Next quarterly meeting scheduled for July.

Barway Committee – Clr John McGiveron

- Wall completed to current stage.
- Barway getting better the wall has made a difference to sand moving in the channel.
- MAST have put up temporary markers in new channel.
- Block of channel works on the western side hopefully to be finished by the end of the year.

Regional Tourism Organisation (RTO) – Clr Glenn McGuinness

- Adopted the budget balance has diminished and costs have increased. Marketing budget has been reduced to balance budget.
- A survey was completed about the Tourism awards with 67% wanting to continue the Tourism awards and 87% wanting a gala event with 36% wanting this on a Saturday night. 48% felt main purpose of awards should be to promote operators. Some businesses didn't enter awards as thought they were too small, too new and didn't believe in self promotion.
- Ideas to encourage more participation
 - More publicity/promotion/recognition for entrants.
 - More categories particularly for small operators
 - Notify finalists that they are in the running
 - Mentor entrants
 - More emphasis on peoples choice
- Decided not to take a stand on Salmon farming.
- Freycinet is being loved to death. There is a definite problem there that needs to be fixed need to look after the area. There are cruise liners stopping at Wineglass Bay and there is a great impact of the passengers being off loaded on to the beach.
- Great Eastern Drive, 50% of last print run of brochures has been moved. These are distributed at the airports, Spirit of Tasmania etc.
- Tourist Awards, both Sapphire and Walking Camp won gold.

Titely Shack & Binalong Bay Reference Group – Clr Glenn McGuinness

- No meeting.

Mental Health Action Group – Clr Barry LeFevre

- On Wednesday 12th April Trish O'Duffy, Karen Dunkley and I linked with David O'Sign and Peter Barnes from Cornerstone. We requested the meeting after a number of queries were raised by our group related to concerns about the provision of service to Break O'DAY by Cornerstone.
- David outlined the parameters of the service that can be provided with the main message being that we need our young people to be referred to Cornerstone for counselling as

referrals are not forthcoming at the moment. Representatives from our group will meet with local G.P.s to outline the issue and hopefully gain some resolution. All concerned need to focus on the notion of supporting those in need, what is best for our Municipality and working co-operatively.

- Glamorgan - Spring Bay Health providers have contacted me and are interested in starting their own Mental Health Action Group or similar. They would appreciate our advice so Trish O'Duffy and I will link up with their Networking group on April 26th.

04/17.12.0 BUSINESS AND CORPORATE SERVICES

04/17.12.1 Corporate Services Department Report

FILE REFERENCE	018\018\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an update of various issues which have been dealt with in the Business and Corporate Service Department since the previous Council Meeting.

DISCUSSION:

- Cllr M Tucker asked the Manager Corporate Service if he had a report on how the Bendigo Community Bank was going.
- Manager Corporate Service stated not a lot of direct information. Council had purchased \$15,000 worth of shares.
- Cllr M Tucker asked if Council could purchase more shares.
- Manager Corporate Service replied yes funds are there may only be losing a very small amount of interest.
- Cllr McGuinness stated they might not achieve their target to be a community bank under the current prospectus offering but they will still be a bank. Disappointed not more Councillors had been involved. Pledges aren't being honoured. Deloraine bank has put back \$1 million into the community as well as paying dividends the messages don't seem to have gotten through to people.
- General Manager stated the maximum contribution is \$30,000 Council can increase another \$15,000.
- Cllr Osborne asked if the bank had gone back to people that had pledged to follow up on this.
- Cllr McGuinness replied yes.
- Cllr M Tucker asked Council to increase contribution to \$30,000 benefits will come back to the community and we may lose the bank.
- Cllr Drummond commended the board of the bank for being very proactive.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.1.84 Moved: Clr M Osborne/ Seconded: Clr G McGuinness

That the report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.12.1.85 Moved: Clr J McGiveron / Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That Council increase its share holding in the Bendigo Community Bank to \$30,000.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.12.2 Monthly Financial Report

FILE REFERENCE	018\018\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the following reports for the month ending 30 March 2017 be received:

1. Trading Account Summary
2. Profit and Loss Statements
3. Financial Position
4. Cash Flow
5. Capital Expenditure

INTRODUCTION:

Presented to Council are the monthly financial statements.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr J Tucker asked the Manager Corporate Services why the land tax budget of \$36,000 was already up to \$42,000, why out so far.
- Manager Corporate Services replied he did not know but would report back to Council.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.2.86 Moved: Clr J McGiveron/ Seconded: Clr K Wright

That the following reports for the month ending 30 March 2017 be received:

1. Trading Account Summary
2. Profit and Loss Statements
3. Financial Position
4. Cash Flow
5. Capital Expenditure

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.12.3 **Visitor Information Centre Report**

FILE REFERENCE	040\028\002\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an update of various issues which are being dealt with by the Visitor Information Centre.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr M Tucker stated he gets lots of comments they deserve a pat on the back.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.3.87 Moved: Clr J Tucker/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That the report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	002\024\005\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Policy LG51 Related Party Disclosures be adopted.

INTRODUCTION:

Council is required by the Local Government Act to comply with Australian Accounting Standards (AAS). Changes to AAS require Council to identify and report on Related Party transactions. This Policy guides the recording and reporting of transactions.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.4.88 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr J Tucker

That Policy LG51 Related Party Disclosures be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	018\017\004\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt the Schedule of Fees & Charges 2017/2018 as presented.

INTRODUCTION:

Council's Schedule of Fees & Charges is reviewed annually as part of the budget adoption process.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Drummond stated that there was a typing error on the Aerodrome landing fees.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.5.89 Moved: Clr K Wright/ Seconded: Clr J Tucker

That Council adopt the Schedule of Fees & Charges 2017/2018 as presented.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.12.6 **Review of LG30 Public Interest Disclosure Policy**

FILE REFERENCE	17/5606
-----------------------	---------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt Policy LG30 Public Interest Disclosure Policy as amended.

INTRODUCTION:

Council has a schedule for regular review of Policies. This Policy is falling due for review according to that Schedule, being nearly three (3) years since the last review.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.6.90 Moved: Clr J McGiveron/ Seconded: Clr B Lefevre

That Council adopt Policy LG30 Public Interest Disclosure Policy as amended.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	002\024\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council cancel Policy EP01 Asbestos Product – Building as this is no longer required.

INTRODUCTION:

Council has a schedule for regular review of Policies. This Policy is now due for review according to that Schedule, being three (3) years since the last review.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.12.7.91 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That Council cancel Policy EP01 Asbestos Product – Building as this is no longer required.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.13.0 WORKS AND INFRASTRUCTURE**04/17.13.1 Works and Infrastructure Report**

FILE REFERENCE	014\002\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received by Council.

INTRODUCTION:

This is a monthly summary update of the works undertaken through the Works and Infrastructure Department for the previous month and a summary of the works proposed for the coming month, and information on other items relating to Council’s infrastructure assets and capital works programs.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Drummond stated that there were lots of positive comments on the St Marys works and the rivulet.
- Clr LeFevre stated the 40kph speed limit in Chimney Heights has not been fixed.
- Clr Rubenach-Quinn questioned the report which stated at the aerodrome the removal of identified trees, what does survey involve. The Works Supervisor explained that this was the Obstacle Limitations Survey which was undertaken on a regular basis.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.13.1.92 Moved: Clr J Tucker/ Seconded: Clr J McGiveron

That the report be received by Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.13.2 **Animal Control Report**

FILE REFERENCE	003\003\018\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received by Council.

INTRODUCTION:

This is a monthly update for animal control undertaken since the last meeting of Council.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Osborne noted the amount of animal problems we have and to only have Adam here one day a week.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.13.2.93 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr K Wright

That the report be received by Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	16/22132
----------------	----------

OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION:

1. That Council allocates a budget to seal the steep section of Stieglitz Street, Falmouth including driveways this financial year, OR
2. That Council allocates a budget to seal the steep section of Stieglitz Street, Falmouth excluding driveways but offers the sealing of driveways to the property owners at cost price, OR
3. That Council decides not to allocate a budget to seal the steep section of Stieglitz Street, Falmouth.

INTRODUCTION:

A letter was received from a resident on 24 November 2016 requesting that the steep section at the southern end of Stieglitz Street, Falmouth be sealed because heavy service vehicles such as water deliveries are unable to access properties.

DISCUSSION:

- Cllr Osborne asked have people at Falmouth had consultation about this. In her time as a Councillor she has seen residents not want sealing or kerb and guttering.
- General Manager advised no clear direction came from the recent community conversation undertaken for the new Strategic Plan. On the contrary there was requests for sealing, not sealing and partial sealing within community feedback..

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.13.3.94 Moved: Cllr J McGiveron/ Seconded: Cllr J Tucker

That Council allocates a budget to seal the steep section of Stieglitz Street, Falmouth excluding driveways but offers the sealing of driveways to the property owners at cost price.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.14.0 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

04/17.14.1 Community Services Report

FILE REFERENCE	011\034\006\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an update of various issues which are being dealt with by the Community Services Department.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr Rubenach-Quinn asked for an update on the Fingal tennis court also the funding for 10 Days on the Island is it \$3,000 or \$6,000.
- General Manager replied he will check with Manager Community Services on the tennis court and the funding was being reviewed as part of the budget for 2017/2018 year.
- Clr McGuinness stated we sponsor the Girls Guides and there is now a sign up saying archery. Why are we funding the land which was gifted to the Girl Guides, can they revenue raise. Money is tight can we reduced the funding.
- Clr M Tucker stated needed to continue funding for Girls Guides as hopefully they will come back to the area.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.14.1.95 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That the report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	002\024\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt the Break O'Day Council Youth Policy and Strategy that has been prepared in consultation with our young people and community organisations.

INTRODUCTION:

Council in 2009 adopted the Break O'Day Council Youth Policy and Strategy – this document had never been reviewed and with a change in demographics it was agreed that the process to deliver a new policy and strategy be undertaken.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.14.2.96 Moved: Clr J Drummond/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne

That Council adopt the Break O'Day Council Youth Policy and Strategy that has been prepared in consultation with our young people and community organisations.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

FILE REFERENCE	018\019\060\
-----------------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approve the following projects to be funded through the Community Funding Program 2016 – 2017.

COMMUNITY GROUP	PROJECT	AMOUNT GIVEN
Breakfast Club - St Marys District School	Fruit for Breakfast Club	\$400.00
St Helens Online Access Centre	Apple IPAD Pro + 2 Go Digi Workshops	\$1,694.00
Break O'Day Child and Family Centre	Items and Postage for Art & Craft, play and educational supplies for the St Helens Playgroup	\$1,800.00
Pyengana Cricket Club	Replacement of Artificial Turf	\$2,600.00
St Helens Sailing Squadron	Set up of Youth Sailing 12 foot Cadet Program	\$5,000.00
St Marys Pacing Club	Replacement of Seating	\$5,542.10
Seymour Community Action Group Inc.	Gorse Eradication, replacement of signage and Restoration works	\$5,000.00
Union Church Goulds Country	Recladding of External wall and repair of front porch	\$2,125.00
Fingal Neighbourhood House Inc	Connecting power to railway station	\$5,000.00
St Helens Neighbourhood House - Social Enterprise Project	Community Garden (Soil etc)	\$5,124.00
	TOTAL	\$30,391.10

INTRODUCTION:

Submissions for funding through the Community Funding Program closed February, 2017.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.14.3.97

Moved: Cllr M Osborne/ Seconded: Cllr J Drummond

That Council approve the following projects to be funded through the Community Funding Program 2016 – 2017.

COMMUNITY GROUP	PROJECT	AMOUNT GIVEN
Breakfast Club - St Marys District School	Fruit for Breakfast Club	\$400.00
St Helens Online Access Centre	Apple IPAD Pro + 2 Go Digi Workshops	\$1,694.00
Break O'Day Child and Family Centre	Items and Postage for Art & Craft, play and educational supplies for the St Helens Playgroup	\$1,800.00
Pyengana Cricket Club	Replacement of Artificial Turf	\$2,600.00
St Helens Sailing Squadron	Set up of Youth Sailing 12 foot Cadet Program	\$5,000.00
St Marys Pacing Club	Replacement of Seating	\$5,542.10
Seymour Community Action Group Inc.	Gorse Eradication, replacement of signage and Restoration works	\$5,000.00
Union Church Goulds Country	Recladding of External wall and repair of front porch	\$2,125.00
Fingal Neighbourhood House Inc	Connecting power to railway station	\$5,000.00
St Helens Neighbourhood House - Social Enterprise Project	Community Garden (Soil etc)	\$5,124.00
	TOTAL	\$30,391.10

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.15.0 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

04/17.15.1 Development Services Report

FILE REFERENCE	031\013\003\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an update of various issues which have been dealt with by the Development Services Department since the previous Council meeting.

DISCUSSION:

- Building Services Coordinator stated they are focusing efforts on the Blue Tier.

- Clr M Tucker stated that he was at Pyengana at the Easter weekend and there were great comments on the use of the toilets and showers didn't stop. Can't thank ground crews enough for their efforts and work. Committee is grateful to Council for their help.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.15.1.98 Moved: Clr G McGuinness/ Seconded: Clr B Lefevre

That the report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.15.2 Planning Approvals Issued

Received.

04/17.15.3 Building Services Approvals

Received.

04/17.15.4 Participation in the Climate Resilient Councils Project

FILE REFERENCE	039\004\018\
-----------------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council participate in the Climate Resilient Councils project and accept the invitation from the Tasmanian Climate Change Office.

INTRODUCTION:

Council has over several years has participated in several projects of the Tasmanian Climate Change Office to do with climate change and in particular understanding and addressing climate change risks and adaptation needs in Break O'Day.

The TCCO and LGAT have invited Tasmanian Council's to participate in a new Climate Resilient Councils project during 2017.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.15.4.99 Moved: Clr M Osborne/ Seconded: Clr J Drummond

That Council participate in the Climate Resilient Councils project and accept the invitation from the Tasmanian Climate Change Office.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.16.0 **GOVERNANCE**

04/17.16.1 **General Manager's Report**

FILE REFERENCE	002\012\001\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the General Manager's report be received.

INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an update of various issues which are being dealt with by the General Manager and with other Council Officers where required.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Provided as a monthly report – Council consideration at previous meetings.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr McGiveron stated he sat in on some of the Strategic Plan conversations like he had done with the previous Strategic Plan process. Last time they had been hostile but this time they were positive which was a great turn around.
- Clr Drummond agreed she had the same positive comments.
- Clr LeFevre asked if there was a fair representation.
- Communications Coordinator replied there is an online survey which enabled the public to engage and had 170 responses.
- General Manager stated the sessions had mixed times and within close proximities to try and maximise the opportunity for participation.
- Clr Rubenach-Quinn stated there were new residents in attendance at all three of the sessions she attended.
- Clr M Tucker thanked the people from Tour de Cure he had fantastic comments and the work from Community Services Manager and Community Service Project Officer for their efforts working before daylight getting the BBQ set up and cooking breakfast for all well into the morning.

- General Manager noted the upcoming St Helens Hospital information session and explained that Council staff were supporting the Department of Health and Human Service with the session and trying to get the information out to the community.
- Clr Drummond asked if any update on the Blue Tier.
- General Manager replied he was working with Parks & Wildlife at the moment.
- Clr McGuinness referred to the World Enduro event held at Derby and that Dorset Council would probably have a debrief about the event and could we get a copy of this.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.16.1.100

Moved: Clr M Osborne/ Seconded: Clr B Lefevre

That the General Manager's report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.16.2 Election of Committee Member of the General Management Committee (GMC) of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT)

FILE REFERENCE	039\002\008\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That Council nominate Mayor Mick Tucker for Committee Member of the General Management Committee of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT).

INTRODUCTION:

The Tasmanian Electoral Commission have called for nominations for President and Committee members of the General Management Committee of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT).

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

No previous Council consideration.

DISCUSSION:

No discussion took place on this item.

COUNCIL DECISION:

04/17.16.2.101

Moved: Clr M Osborne/ Seconded: Clr J Drummond

That Council nominate Mayor Mick Tucker for Committee Member of the General Management Committee of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT).

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

04/17.16.3 Draft Local Government Amendment (Targeted Review) Bill 2017 – Public Consultation

FILE REFERENCE	039\002\008\
----------------	--------------

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

For discussion.

INTRODUCTION:

Correspondence has been received from the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) advising that the Public Consultation phase on the Draft Local Government Amendment (Targeted Review) Bill 2017 is currently open.

LGAT advises that while Councils may of course make their own submissions, LGAT would ask for a copy of any submission made in order to develop a fully representative sector submission. All feedback, direct or copies, must be received by 10.00am Monday 1 May.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

No previous Council consideration.

DISCUSSION:

- Clr McGuiness noted the internet based advertising and enquired what was this about.
- Clr M Tucker stated it had been bought up that there were different budgets for Lord Mayors etc.
- The General Manager noted that this reflected an update to the Legislation to reflect modern trends.

COUNCIL DECISION:

Resolved that no submission would be made.

Pursuant to Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 that Council move into Closed Council.

Moved: Clr J Tucker / Seconded: Clr J McGiveron

That Council move into Closed Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

IN CONFIDENCE

04/17.17.0 **CLOSED COUNCIL**

04/17.17.1 **Outstanding Actions List for Closed Council**

04/17.17.2 **Enterprising Bargaining – Closed Council Item Pursuant To Section 15(2)A Of
The Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015**

Pursuant to Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005 that Council move out of Closed Council.

Moved: Clr J McGiveron/ Seconded: Clr M Osborne: That Council move out of Closed Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mayor Tucker thanked everyone for their attendance and declared the meeting closed at 12.34pm.

.....
MAYOR

.....
DATE